



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/776,133	02/02/2001	John Franklin Ebersole	CREAT/0120/US	9372
7590 02/16/2006			EXAMINER	
Mirick O'Connell DeMallie & Lougee, LLP			BARQADLE, YASIN M	
Suite 1700 100 Front Stree	·t		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Worcester, MA	A 01608-1477		2153	

DATE MAILED: 02/16/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/776,133	EBERSOLE ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Yasin M. Barqadle	2153
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period with a proper of the proper	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Oct 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under Expression is the practice of the condition of	action is non-final. ice except for formal matters, pr	
Disposition of Claims		
4)	election requirement.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the construction of the construct	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se on is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat ity documents have been receiv (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 09/776,133

Art Unit: 2153

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

• Claims 7-47 are restricted.

• Claims 1-6 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Collaborative Gaming in Augmented Reality by Zsolt et al (hereinafter "Zsolt").

As per claim 1, Zsolt teaches an internetworked augmented reality (AR) system (fig. 1), comprising:

- a. At least one Local AR Station, manned by a local user who is viewing a local real-world scene (see fig. 1 player's client);
- b. At least one Remote Station, at least one of which is an AR Station manned by a

Application/Control Number: 09/776,133

Art Unit: 2153

remote user who is viewing a remote real-world scene see fig. 1 player's client and page 196, section 3.2);

Page 3

- c. A network connecting these stations (see fig. 1);
- d. Computer equipment for presenting to the local user a virtual representation of the remote user overlaid on the local real-world scene (see fig. 1 and section 4);
- e. Computer equipment for presenting to the remote user a virtual representation of the local user overlaid on the remote real-world scene (see fig. 1 and section 4 and 5.1); and
- f. Computer equipment that allows for collaboration between the local and remote users over the network, the collaboration comprising at least one of the users providing feedback to another user (see fig. 1 and section 4.1 and 4.2).

As per claim 2, Zsolt teaches the system of claim 1 wherein an AR Station is comprised of at least:

a. A computing system; An AR display system, and A tracking system (see fig. 1 and section 3.2)

As per claim 3, Zsolt teaches the system of claim 1 wherein a Non-AR Station is comprised of at least: a. A computing system (fig. 1)

As per claim 4, Zsolt teaches the system of claim 1 wherein the network is selected from the group of networks consisting of a local area network (LAN), a wide area network (WAN), a wireless network, and the Internet (fig. 1).

Art Unit: 2153

As per claim 5, Zsolt teaches the system of claim 3 wherein a Non-AR Station computing system is selected from the group of computing systems consisting of a PC, web server, database server, and high-performance computer (HPC) (see gaming server in fig. 1).

As per claim 6, Zsolt teaches the system of claim 3 wherein there is equipment allowing a human to use at least one Station in addition to the required Local AR Station (see fig. 1 and section 3.2 and 5.1)

Conclusion

The prior made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yasin Barqadle whose telephone number is 571-272-3947. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Burgess can be reached on 571-272-3949. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306 for regular communications and 703-746-7238 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained form the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either private PAIR or public PAIR system. Status information for unpublished applications is available through private PAIR only. For more information about the

Application/Control Number: 09/776,133

Art Unit: 2153

PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

YB Art Unit 2153

JASON CARDONE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 5